VEGETARIAN ALERT!

In Boulder, Colorado, last August I was at a lively 3-day seminar on natural medicine organized by friend Robert Crayhon, M.S. Lanky, goodlooking Robert is a researcher and nutritionist who dispenses wicked wit like refreshing drops of rain. The folks he had as presenters along with himself awed me by their combination of wisdom and clinical experience. (And they were so young! I notice that’s happening more lately. Is it that they’re getting younger... or that I’m....? Oh heck.) A major theme, pursued by physiology professor Loren Cordain, Ph.D., of Colorado State U., and by geochemist C. Leigh Broadhurst, Ph.D., wasPaleolithic nutrition -- what our ancestors -- first Homo habilis, later Homo erectus, and finally Homo sapiens -- hunted, gathered, and ate over a stretch of more than two million years.

The transition from Paleolithic lifestyle took place only ten thousand years ago and just in a few parts of the globe -- likely in Southeast Asia, and in the ‘Fertile Crescent’ of the Middle East bordered on the east by the Euphrates and Tigris rivers and on the west by the Nile. When we first settled down to raise and harvest crops, it had to happen in these warm regions: sheets of ice from the last Ice Age would still have covered the northern latitudes for a few thousand more years.

Some paleontologists say it’s been all downhill since: our teeth became prone to decay, our bones got weaker, we actually shrunk! Neanderthals were bigger, stronger, and maybe smarter -- their brains were larger than ours. Our immediate ancestors, the Cro-Magnons, too, were taller than today’s humans and sported great teeth and bones.

Where did we go wrong?

Hunter-gatherers (i.e., foragers) ate a lot of protein, some of it fatty -- from game animals, fish, marine mammals, shellfish, birds, lizards, occasional eggs, and (yuck) insects and grubs.

They ate a bunch of plant stuff, too: greens, tubers, roots, bulbs, fruit, berries, nuts, seeds. Little or no grains (as in no wheat, rye, or barley).

As their numbers grew and wild game got scarce, our ancestors gradually abandoned a forager lifestyle for farming. Cereal grains could be harvested, stored, and fill a lot of bellies when game was vanishing. In time, people’s primary sustaining food switched from flesh to cereal grains. The larger the populations grew, the fewer flesh foods and the more grains they ate.

Worse yet, cereal grains became the biggest part of carbohydrate intake, crowding out the rich variety of vegetables, tubers, nuts, fruits and berries we’d depended on during the huge hunk of time when grains weren’t dietary mainstays. Grains have good stuff in them, but can’t make up for the abundance of vitamins, minerals, antioxidant nutrients, etc. in the foods they displaced; they’re also high in an ‘anti-nutrient’, phytic acid, which interferes with mineral absorption.

An illuminating example is what this transition, beginning just 200 years ago, meant to Aborigines, who had flourished as foragers for 50,000 years in Australia after they migrated from Southeast Asia. Newer research has reversed the notion that Aboriginal diet was largely made up of plant foods. Instead, Australia’s Stone-Agers not only hunted marsupials, reptiles, lizards, birds, etc., but because many inhabited the huge coastal areas of the continent (until driven inward to the deserts by the colonists), they also hunted salt-water turtles, dugongs, and deep sea fish “from bark or dugout canoes.”

Like all coastal people they depended on many varieties of fish and shellfish as the easiest-to-get sources of protein. (Need I mention as sources of omega-3 fats as well? Where d’ye think they got the brains to be such skillful hunters -- and later to figure out how to survive in Australia’s parched interior?)

They ate a lot of greens, yams, and fruits, too. Also, eggs, frogs, grubs, sweet honey ants, honey (they were crazy about honey), and seeds from which they baked a kind of bread. No wheat, no flour, no sugar. Aborigine moms nursed their kids for three years.

Healthy? Strong bones? No heart disease? Gorgeous teeth? You bet. That was then. Now, they eat modern foods -- canned meat, white flour goods, sugar -- and have modern diseases, bad teeth, and rampant alcoholism.

So what’s so different in America? Not a lot. We have our nutrition policy-setters trumpeting the virtues of a meat-free, fat-free, high-grain diet that’s going to solve all our health problems.

Right.

And Now: Carnitine!

Crayhon has written a book that’s so good I don’t mind if he sells more copies than the Rudin-Felix Omega 3 Oils, honest! The title put me off at first: The Carnitine Miracle (1998, M. Evans & Co., New York). Sorry, Robert, it sounded like one of those quickies put out by firms that sell supplements, but when I started reading it, I couldn’t put it down and finished it in one evening. Crayhon offers a wondrous panoramic view of human nutritional needs, beginning with our beginnings as Stone-Agers. Clearly, the secrets for keeping our evolving species strong, healthy, and smart lie in the foods we cherished for over two million years, and in the physical activity their procuring demanded.
Okay, we all know what we’re supposed to be doing in the way of walking, swimming, weight-training, dancing, or whatever it takes to get us off our duffs. As for nutrition, it’s by no means impossible to re-create a Paleolithic pattern, even if we omit insects and grubs. But first, Crayhon says, we’ll have to overcome the dictum of present-day experts who are hooked on grains, no-red-meat, and low-fat everything. And I’m sorry, dear vegetarian friends, he says you’re fighting human history and physiology. Crayhon was one for 11 years until he repented.

While studies with animals show that protein in the diet improves calcium absorption in the gut, this is the first confirmation in humans. Moreover, above-normal levels of parathyroid hormone were found within 4 days only in the women eating the so-called moderately low-protein diet -- a sign that blood calcium was getting too low. To offset the peril of inadequate calcium in the blood, the parathyroid gland is designed to go into high gear. One of its hormone’s main effects is the resorption of calcium from bones into the blood circulation. That’s right: robbing the bones to supply the body.

The Key Is Calcium Balance

Though it’s widely accepted that a high meat diet leads to calcium loss and hence weaker bones and osteoporosis, this flies in the face of paleontologists’ evidence of consistently sturdy bones in fossils of early humans. Studies as well of the few remaining hunter-gatherer tribes who had access to plenty of game and aquatic foods show they had superb health, including strong teeth and dense bones.1, 2

Acid-base Status & Calcium

Here’s the explanation for urinary calcium excretion on high protein diets. Dr. Loren Cordain, interviewed by Crayhon in the November Townsend Letter for Doctors & Patients, believes the critical factor influencing bone metabolism is not so much calcium intake and excretion, but calcium balance, which depends on the acid-base status of the total diet. Many foods when digested and assimilated produce powerful acids, e.g., sulfuric and phosphoric, which would damage the kidneys, etc. The body’s ingenious buffering system goes into action, grabbing alkaline minerals such as calcium, magnesium, and potassium to neutralize these acids and convert them into harmless salts that won’t harm the kidneys when excreted in the urine. [See FLS 52 and 53.]

How does this relate to a high fleshfood diet? Meat and fish actually cause a net production by the body of these potentially harmful acids. So do most commonly consumed cereal grains. Without ample intake of calcium, magnesium, and potassium to neutralize metabolic acids, the body draws on its emergency stores -- the calcium in its bones.

Saved By Veggies!

But, thankfully (and logically), nature provides us with fruits and vegetables to yield the specific alkaline minerals our bodies need to convert the acids into harmless salts. Dr. Cordain says these plant foods “have a net alkaline value and consequently reduce acid excretion and hence reduce calcium [calcium excreted in urine], thereby halting bone resorption and actually allowing bone accretion to occur.” This could also have helped to prevent kidney stone formation, he suggests.

It was their high intake of fruits and vegetables that allowed Stone-Agers to enjoy their enormous protein intake and still produce “a net dietary acid-base status which would have favored bone accretion...”
Botswana’s Hunter-Gatherers

Back to modern times. I can’t think of a better argument for choosing a diet that’s ample in meat, fish, vegetables, and fruits — and modest in grains. Remember, most of the commonly eaten grains are net producers of acids.

As to the ominous ailments in addition to osteoporosis that are attributed by pundits to high animal food intake, a wonderful study took place in 1976 of the San people — Bushmen hunter-gatherers in the Dobe region of Botswana, South Africa. Both game and plant foods still were plentiful — 54 species of animals and 85 species of plants were hunted or gathered at one time or another. Clinical and biochemical exams showed good nutrient status in the 100 adults and 60 children examined, no obesity, hardly any dental caries, normal blood pressure that didn’t rise with age, and no evidence of coronary heart disease. “Indeed, the authors reported that in several respects the San were considered to be more healthy than people in western countries. Provided they did not die from infections or from injuries, the hunter-gatherers at Dobe could live to a good old age.” So much for the myth of 40-year lifespans for hunter-gatherers.

Nature’s Tool for Burning Fat

What has all this to do with Robert devoting a whole book to carnitine? Here’s why carnitine is unique, in his words: “It is a nutrient that does something no other nutrient can do: it acts like a forklift, picking up fats and dropping them off where the body burns them.” That’s right; fats can’t get into the mitochondria -- the numerous energy ‘factories’ in each of the body’s billions of cells -- unless carnitine latches on and takes them there. Whatever the fancy names are for the process and the enzymes that drive it, the stark truth is we can’t turn fats into energy without carnitine.

Carnitine, actually L-carnitine, is a molecule our bodies can make in limited amounts if all the precursor nutrients and enzymes are present and working. However, we can save our bodies trouble by a simple expedient: eating fleshfoods. They’re full of carnitine. The plant kingdom supplies very negligible amounts.

Moreover, the two precursor amino acids the body needs for making carnitine -- lysine and methionine -- are also low in plant foods. The 8th edition (1994) of the nutritionist’s “bible,” Modern Nutrition in Health & Disease edited by M. Shils, J. Olson & M. Shike, says: “Because higher animals including man can synthesize carnitine, it has long been assumed that it is a nonessential nutrient. But much clinical nutrition research now indicates that carnitine should be viewed as a ‘conditionally essential nutrient.’”

Medical research on carnitine is so new that this 1994 textbook has only a handful of 1980s references and not a single one in the ‘90s. But trust intrepid researcher Crayhon to chase down 54 carnitine studies in the 1990s (yep, I counted them in the book), along with those from the 1980s, to fuel the book and provide a rationale for using carnitine supplements in his practice.

Even the conservative nutrition ‘bible’ is suggesting that for those who (1) may not be making enough, and (2) are not getting enough from food, [Yo, vegetarians!] supplemental carnitine may be an idea whose time has come. It helps that it has no side effects even in very big doses except -- this is great -- occasional euphoria!

Crayhon writes: “The abundant energy that carnitine helps to deliver has made an enormous difference in the health of all my clients. It is the single most remarkable nutrient I have used in my nutrition practice over the past twelve years.”

Carnitine, whether in meat or in a supplement, is a familiar molecule to the body, unlike drugs or stimulants. “If you give your cells the ability to make optimal levels of energy, they can use it to do whatever they want: build and renew cell membranes, create and maintain cell structures....In short, they can use it to make themselves work better and last longer.” Crayhon has observed carnitine helping to empower everything from his patients’ immune systems, to their muscles. He puts it this way: “Garage sales are great. They help you turn what you don’t need any more into ready cash. And often the money that comes from these garage sales goes into refurbishing the house. Carnitine puts on a nutritional garage sale. It rids the body of excess fat and other fatty acid residues that are only getting in the way. And it creates the cellular equivalent of cash: energy. This energy often goes into repairing and refurbishing the cells of our body.”

Carnitine’s Many Roles

Beginning in the 1980s, medical interest in carnitine began to perk up. Its unique ability to energize cells led to trials showing carnitine supplements improved athletic performance. Muscles worked better and made less lactic acid, which decreased fatigue. Now, a slew of medical studies shows it also works for the heart -- strengthening it, lowering fats in the blood, relieving angina, even helping to ease congestive heart failure.

Another motive for writing the book is carnitine’s effectiveness in weight control which Crayhon sees over and over again in his practice.

“Turning fat into energy is the greatest conversion that can be made in the body. And only carnitine can make it happen....Unless fat makes it into the mitochondria, you can’t burn it off no matter what you do and no matter how well you diet. Once fat is inside the mitochondria, fat is magically transformed into energy. It’s like turning bricks into gold. This is why carnitine both encourages weight loss and increases energy levels.”

He often recommends from 500 to 2000 milligrams per day of carnitine tartrate, the form he prefers, to his clients who want to trim down, “usually before breakfast and lunch for the best results.” He cites a 1997 study of overweight teenagers (Zhi-Qian He et al., Acta Nutrimenta Sinica; 19(2): 146-151): “For those eating a healthy diet and getting moderate exercise for 12 weeks, average weight loss was one pound. For those who added one gram of carnitine [1000 milligrams] per day to the same regime, weight loss averaged eleven pounds!”

Crayhon says adequate omega-3 fats are vital not just for health but specifically to fight obesity. They’re burned for energy faster than other fats; also, their presence in body cell membranes makes the cells more responsive to insulin, helping to avoid the insulin resistance that can make people fatter and prone to diabetes and high blood fats. (Robert is a passionate omega-3 fan, making us soulmates.)
He offers another reason for eating more protein and fewer grain-based carbs: protein has 30 to 40 percent more ability than carbohydrates or glucose to stimulate the vagus nerve that tells you when to stop eating. When you eat protein and healthy fats, “your body will respond happily by saying, ‘Hey, I know what this stuff is. I’ve had enough!’” High carbohydrate foods such as grains, bread, pasta, sugar, and candy, however, are new to the body so it takes much longer for it to know when to stop asking for food. You can be on your third plate of pasta, and your 100,000-year-old digestive tract will still be trying to figure out what it is, let alone tell you that it is satisfied...

More good news: Crayhon says carnitine is a critical nutrient for the management of diabetes. Studies show carnitine levels are lower in type II diabetics. Besides its fat-burning role, carnitine promotes optimal carbohydrate metabolism, helps insulin to work better, and may prove to be essential for healing diabetics.

Babies don’t make enough carnitine for at least the first two years, so it must officially be added to soy- and rice-based formulas. There’s some in cow’s milk formulas, but the baby’s best bet for getting carnitine in its most bio-available form, of course, is mother’s milk. Supplemental carnitine has helped malnourished infants and children gain weight and grow normally.

**Acetyl-L-Carnitine**

The body makes another version, acetyl-L-carnitine, that gets into the brain more effectively than L-carnitine. Animal research and a few human studies testify to the effectiveness of acetyl-L-carnitine supplements in protecting brain cells from deterioration that normally happens with age, as well as during stress. Apparently it provides the brain with energy needed to maintain viability of neurons, receptors, and myelin sheaths around nerves.

Crayhon is so enthusiastic about acetyl-L-carnitine’s effect on brain power, he thinks we should start taking 250-1,000 milligrams a day at college age! The only drawback: it’s a lot more expensive than present L-carnitine.

It’s funny, folks, I have a file on carnitine dating back to 1982, with clear expositions by ‘alternative’ writers and clinicians on the nutrient’s potential to soup up our muscles, normalize blood fats, strengthen the heart, fight fatigue, increase sperm motility, improve DNA repair, protect the brain, and so on. Thank you! -- Richard Kunin, MD, Parriss Kidd, PhD, Stephen A. Levine, PhD Jeffrey Bland, PhD, Jack Challem, and Brian Leibovitz, PhD -- and others I may have missed. Crayhon’s book was the final wake-up call I needed.

Most useful are Crayhon’s discussions of optimal diet regimes that he’s found effective for clients in dealing with common health nuisances, together with systematic listing of appropriate supplements and recommended dosages, including both forms of carnitine. Frankly, dear readers, I’m hoping to what your appetite so you’ll buy the book and use it as a guide to ratchet your own health up a few notches. Its basic message is the optimistic kind I like: that those of us who don’t make enough carnitine, or get enough from our food, or who simply have greater requirements, will in all likelihood benefit from supplementing. Your fearless editor has already added it to her crowded cupboard and will report next time on results.
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